

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/065,134	PARRILLO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jennine M. Brown	1755	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jennine M. Brown.

(2) Patricia DeSimone.

**Status of Application:** Amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 November 2002

**Time:** 3:35 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*All relevant claims.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Newly cited prior art documents detailed in the PTO 892.*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Prior art with SAPOs not considered metal oxide alkylation catalysts because silicon-phosphorus oxides are not considered metals. Surfactants used to create a metal oxide do not necessarily form pores therefore cannot be considered a pore former. Claims 14 and 37 will be amended to incorporate the newly added material from claim 1..